

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

Gregory Baxter

Case No. 1:20-cv-00740

Petitioner,

-vs-

JUDGE PAMELA A. BARKER

Magistrate Judge Jonathan D. Greenberg

Warden Kimberly Clipper

Respondent. MEMORANDUM OF OPINION & ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Johnathan D. Greenberg (Doc. No. 10), which recommends dismissal of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pending before the Court. No objections have been filed. For the reasons that follow, the Report and Recommendation is ACCEPTED.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

When objections are made to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court reviews the case *de novo*. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(3) provides in pertinent part:

The district judge must determine *de novo* any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

As stated in the Advisory Committee Notes, "[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." In *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985), the Court held, "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings."

DECISION

This Court, having reviewed the Report and Recommendation and finding no clear error, accepts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court hereby dismisses the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus for the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge in the Report and Recommendation, which is incorporated herein by reference. Furthermore, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: June 29, 2022

s/Pamela A. Barker

PAMELA A. BARKER
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE